

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/669,655		09/25/2003	Yasushi Kinoshita	Q77597	5578
23373	7590	10/06/2006		EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE	E MION, I	PLLC	GEBREMARIAM, SAMUEL A		
2100 PENN SUITE 800	SYLVANI	IA AVENUE, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20037				2811	
•				DATE MAILED: 10/06/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/669,655	KINOSHITA, YASUSHI				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Samuel A. Gebremariam	2811				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 A</u>	ugust 2006.					
,—	·	action is non-final.					
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.						
•	•						
8)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)∐	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	·	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachman	tic)						
Attachmen	u(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notic	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ratent Application				
	rademark Office	·— ——					

Application/Control Number: 10/669,655 Page 2

Art Unit: 2811

DETAILED ACTION

Request for Continued Examination

- 1. A request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 8/4/06 has been entered. An action on the RCE follows.
- 2. The amendment filed on 7/7/06 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1, 3-5, 7 and 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shirley et al., US patent No. 6,015,729.

Regarding claim 1, Shirley teaches (fig. 1) a semiconductor integrated circuit comprising a power supply wiring and a ground wiring (col. 2, lines 56-67) and a decoupling capacitor (24, 26, 28) formed between the power supply wiring (decoupling capacitors are usually formed between ground/power supply wiring, col. 2, lines 56-67) and the ground wiring, the decoupling capacitor having electrodes (24 and 28), wherein at least one of the electrodes of the decoupling capacitor comprises of a shield layer

Art Unit: 2811

formed in a plane shape (28, portion of 28 that is contacting 16 appears to be plane shaped) on a semiconductor substrate (10), and the shield layer is connected electrically directly to the semiconductor substrate via a diffusion layer (16) and is fixed to a power supply potential or the ground potential (col. 2, lines 56-67) and the decoupling capacitor (the decoupling capacitor 24, 26, 28) does not overlap the diffusion layer (16, refer to fig. 1, the decoupling capacitor is formed where 24, 26 and 28 overlap).

Regarding claim 3, Shirley teaches (fig. 1) the entire claimed structure of claim 1 above including the shield layer (28) is obtained by covering a plurality of protrusions formed on the substrate (refer to fig. 1, protrusions caused due to fox region 20).

Regarding claim 4, Shirley teaches (fig. 1) the entire claimed structure of claims 1 and 3 above including a gate electrode (24 also servers as a gate electrode, col. 3, lines 1-13).

The limitation that the protrusions are formed simultaneously with the gate electrode by the same formation process for the gate electrode is not given patentable weight because it is considered a product-by-process claim. "[E]ven though product-by process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process." *In re Thorpe*, 777 F.2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985).

Regarding claim 5, Shirley teaches (fig. 1) the entire claimed structure of claims 1 and 3 including the decoupling capacitor is formed on element isolation oxide film (refer to fox region 20 of fig. 1).

Regarding claim 7, Shirley teaches (fig. 1) the entire claimed structure of claim 1 above including the decoupling capacitor circuit is formed on an element isolation oxide (refer to fox region 20 of fig. 1).

Regarding claims 9 and 10, Shirley teaches the entire claimed structure of claims 1 and 3 above including the diffusion layer (16) is a well (12) contact diffusion layer fig. 1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 2, 6 and 8 and 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shirley in view of Tobita, US patent No. 5,801,412.

Regarding claim 2, Shirley teaches (fig. 1) the entire claimed structure of claim 1 above including another of the electrodes of the decoupling capacitor (24), which opposes the electrode comprising the shield layer (28).

Shirley does not explicitly teach a wiring layer connected to wirings on an uppermost layer of a multi-layer wiring structure via contact electrodes, and a capacitor

Application/Control Number: 10/669,655

Art Unit: 2811

insulating film for forming the decoupling capacitor is provided between the wiring layer and the shield layer.

Tobita teaches (fig. 5) a wiring layer (the wiring for either the power supply node or ground line) connected to wirings on an uppermost layer of a multi-layer wiring structure via contact electrodes (the electrodes 9a and 9b also serve as contact electrodes), and a capacitor insulating film (7c and 7c) for forming the decoupling capacitor is provided between the wiring layer and the shield layer (5a).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the wiring layer that is formed above the shielding layer as taught by Tobita in the structure of Shirley in order to further facilitate the integration of the device.

Regarding claims 6 and 8, Shirley teaches substantially the entire claimed structure of claims 1 and 3 above except explicitly stating that the shield layer comprises a silicon compound of a metal.

Tobita teaches a shield layer comprising a silicon compound of a metal (col. 11, lines 23-28).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the shield layer comprising a silicon compound as taught by Tobita in the structure of Shirley in order to reduce the contact resistance between the shield layer and the diffusion region.

Regarding claims 11 and 12, Shirley teaches the entire claimed structure of claims 1 and 3 above including the semiconductor substrate includes a p-well region

Application/Control Number: 10/669,655 Page 6

Art Unit: 2811

and an n-well region. Tobita teaches an example of a CMOS circuit included in the peripheral circuit (Fig. 9A) and a CMOS structure inherently implies the formation of both n-well and p-well regions in the semiconductor substrate (figs. 5 and 9 of Tobita). Therefore the combined structure of Shirley and Tobita teaches a substrate with both an n-well region and p-well region.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 7/7/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Shirley's decoupling capacitors are located over diffused regions 16 or 17. Referring to fig. 1, the decoupling capacitor of Shirley is formed in the overlapping regions of (24, 26 and 28). Therefore the decoupling capacitor of Shirley is located outside the diffused region (16).

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel A. Gebremariam whose telephone number is (571)-272-1653. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie Lee can be reached on (571) 272-1732. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SAG September 29, 2006

DOUGLAS W. OWENS PRIMARY EXAMINER

Dougla W. Owen 10/2/06